DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 269 684 CG 019 069

AUTHOR Heflinger, Craig Anne; Dokecki, Paul R.

TITLE Mental Health Policy for Children and Youth: A

Methodological Framework and Initial Findings.

PUB DATE 5 Apr 86

NOTE 10p.; Paper presented at the Conference on Human

Development (Nashville, TN, April 3-5, 1986).

PUB TYPE Reports - Research/Technical (143) --

Speeches/Conference Papers (150)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

*Adolescents; *Children; *Mental Health; Mental Health Programs; Policy; *Policy Formation; *Research

Methodology; Standards; *State Programs

ARSTRACT

Fischer presented a methodological framework which combined empirical methods of data collection with interpretive and philosophical approaches to provide a useful model for studying mental mealth policy for children and adolescents. The levels of the method include: (1) study of cause-effect relationships; (2) phenomenological analysis to interpret situations; (3) behavioral systems approach to relate values and system variables; and (4) political and social philosophy comparisons of political and social life. This study used Fischer's framework to survey state mental health agencies about the status of child and adolescent mental health standards in each state. In the first level, a state-level survey of community mental health directors and children's service coordinators used an empirical approach to rank factors influencing policy and service systems. At the second level, interviews with stakeholders in the mental health system probed for factors influencing policy. For the third level, the national standards survey provided a glimpse of system-wide behavior on the use of mental health standards. A fourth level values analysis was not undertaken. Future research might combine Fischer's framework with Elmore's backward mapping approach. (ABL)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document. ************************



Mental Health Policy for Children and Youth: A Methodological Framework and Initial Findings

Craig Anne Heflinger and Paul R. Dokecki George Peabody College of Vanderbilt University

Paper presented at the Conference on Human Development, Nashville, TN, 5 April 1986

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.

Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality

 Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

CraigAnne Helwom

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



Mental Health Policy for Children and Youth: A Methodological Framework and Initial Findings

Psychologists typically principles espouse within positivist-empiricist philosophy of science (Buss, 1975). they have operated on these principles in the mental health policy arena, however, they have often been disappointed and Mental health interventions rich in theory and frustrated. research-proven effectiveness have either not typically been adopted or, if adopted, not successfully or faithfully imple-(e.g., see the experiences of the Fairweather Lodge for community treatment of chronic mental patients [Fairweather, 1980; Fairweather, Sanders, & Tornatzky, 1974; Fairweather & Tor-1977] and of Project Re-ED for the ecologically-oriented treatment of emotionally disturbed children [Hobbs, 1979, 1982]). There have been discrepancies between expectations and reality and between theory and practice. We present and offer supportive evidence for a methodological framework--growing from an attempt to develop and implement child and adolescent mental health standards--intended to help rsychologists better understand and study the many facets of mental health policy.

The integratic of the rigorous methodology of positivisticempiricism, called Paradigm I (Sampson, 1978), with an alternative Paradigm II approach incorporating historical, value, ethical sensitivity has been called for in the social sciences in general (Bakan, 1966; Gergen, 1978; Sarason, 1984) and in policy analysis in particular (Miller, 1984; Reppucci & Sarason, 1979; 1984). Shadish. In that regard, Fischer (1980) presented a methodological framework for researching policy issues that combines both empirical and interpretive approaches. framework four levels of analysis correspond with four methods and modes of explanation. At the first level, cause-effect relationships are studied, as in the experiment or evaluation research. At the second level, phenomenological analysis involving qualitative methods is used to describe and interpret situations. At the third level, the behavioral systems approach is used to relate values and system variables. At the fourth level, political and social philosophy compares differing ways of political and social life. In the first and third levels, empirical methods of data collection and analysis are used; and in second and fourth levels, interpretive and philosophic ap-"Instead of competing methodologies, they can be proaches. viewed as coexisting perspectives on the same social reality, each with its own type of data and internal logic" (Fischer, 1980, p. 173). We argue that this framework provides a needed model for social scientists who wish to understand the policy process and ways to enhance the implementation of effective programs for children and adolescent .



METHOD

The present study is one part of a continuing program of research on mental health policy for children and adolescents. In order to do justice to this complex topic, a multifacited method was used. At the national level, there was a survey of state mental health agencies, by phone and in writing, requesting information on the status of child and edolescent mental health standards in each state.

In one particular state, there were three facets:

- 1. Directors and children's service coordinators in each community mental health center (CMCH) across the state were surveyed through a multiple choice instrument asking about perceived strengths and gaps in the service system and factors that, in their opinion, had influenced recent changes in patterns of care.
- 2. Archival data published by this particular state's mental health agency were reviewed to draw information on the level of mental health services delivered to children and adolescents through programs affiliated with the state agency. These data included planning documents, reports, and information on client and service characteristics from the computerized management information system.
- 3. In depth interviews were conducted in two phases within a qualitative research framework. The 67 interviewees were key figures in the development of the state's mental health system over the past 30 years as well as current participants at several levels of the system. They included: former and present members of the state's mental health agency; other state executives; program administrators and direct service providers of both residential and community programs; members of professional associations and advocacy groups; and state legislators. These open ended interviews were coded for mention of factors that had influenced the policy making process. (For a rice extensive description of this phase of this study, see Heflinger & Dokecki, 1985.)

RESULTS

With these multiple methods and data sources, we have obviously amassed a wealth of information that is beyond the scope of this paper. What we describe are the general findings related to discrepancies between expectations and reality and between theory and practice.

First we have a set of expectations of what, to happen in the rational, fact-finding, problem-solving, treatment-focused mode of Paradigm I:



2

- 1. That policy planning incorporates social science findings on model approaches and effective interventions.
- 2. That the service delivery system is addressing the needs of children and adolescents. The prevalence of those in need of immediate and direct mental health intervention has been estimated at the minimum of 11.8% of all children (Gould, Wunsch-Hitzig, & Dohrenwend, 1981), with an additional 2-12% at risk (Lieberman, 1975), needing early identification and early intervention.
- 3. That a comprehensive approach is needed that would ensure an array of services, a continuum of care ranging from non-restrictive, primary prevention activities in the community, through early intervention and outpatient treatment, to residential and inpatient services, with these services provided in the least restrictive setting possible (Hobbs, 1982; Knitzer, 1982, 1984).
- 4. That mental health standards specifically addressing the needs of children, adolescents, and their families, should be used by state agencies as a method of mandating and regulating such a system of care (Knitzer, 1982).

What we have found, however, suggests the importance of Paradigm II concerns:

- 1. Scientific and professional factors were ranked at the bottom in terms of influencing mental health policy making in either the recent or more distant past, with <u>economic</u> and <u>political</u> factors at or near the top for every group of interviewees.
- 2. Only 1% of the state's population of children and adolescents were being served by the state affiliated mental health system.
- 3. A continuum of care is not available for children and their families within the mental health system, and current organizational and fiscal incentives promote the development of more restrictive rather than less restrictive and preventive programs.
- 4. Mental health standards specifically addressing the needs of children and their families were being used in only a handful of states. Furthermore, community mental health center directors, who as a group are quite active in lobbying the state mental nealth agency regarding regulation issues, are for the most part opposed to the development of child specific mental health standards.



DISCUSSION

Looking back to the framework discussed earlier, employed three of the four levels in our search to understand better the child and adolescent mental health policy process. the first level, a state-level survey of CMHC directors and children's service coordinators used an empirical approach to rank factors influencing policy and service system strengths and weaknesses. As well, data from the state mental health agency's management information system were used to look at patterns of service delivery. At the second level, the in-depth interviews of stakeholders in the mental health system probed for factors that had influenced policy and perceived changes in the system from an interpretive perspective. These data were used to enrich our understanding beyond that provided at the first level. review of archival data and the professional literature was similarly used to enrich our understanding of the issues. At the next level, the national standards survey provided a brief glimpse of system-wide behavior on the use of mental health standards as a means of regulating and coordinating the service system.

What is missing is a values analysis at the fourth level. It is perhaps at this level that the social science and policy making worlds are most discrepant—"two communities," separate cultures holding distinctly different beliefs (Caplan, Morrison, & Stambaugh, 1975). The treatment/effectiveness focus of the developmental and clinical psychology can be contrasted with the political/fiscal focus of mental health policy and service delivery system. The values of human development and community (Dokecki, 1983; Hobbs, Dokecki, Hoover-Dempsey, Moroney, Shayne, & Weeks, 1984; Moroney, 1980; Moroney & Dokecki, 1984) are at odds with those of individualism and the medical model upon which the mental health system was established. Understanding this values conflict is crucial for social scientists who operate in the policy culture.

To conclude our presentation, we emphasize the need for future research about the discrepancies mentioned today. in this area include using Fischer's (1980) four-level framework in combination with Elmore's (1983) backward mapping approach to policy implementation analysis. Briefly, this will begin with a values analysis at the fourth level of the current and recommended policy goals. The recommended goals would then use social science research on treatment effectiveness at level one to define needed interventions. Then, using level one empirical and level two interpretive methods to discover factors, incentives, and capacities that influence implementation, we will begin to map backward, up the system from direct service to administrative to regulatory levels. Level three behavioral systems would also be incorporated.



This multilevel and multifacited approach would provide social scientists in the policy arena with the deeper understanding of the policy process and thus the opportunity to enhance the implementation of effective programs for children and their families.

REFERENCES

- Bakan, D. (1966). <u>The duality of human existence: Isolation and communion in Western man</u>. Boston: Beacon Press.
- Buss, A. R. (1975). The emerging field of the sociology of psychological knowledge. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 30, 998-1002.
- Caplan, N., Morrison, A., & Stambaugh, R. J. (1975). The use of social science knowledge in policy decisions at the national level. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Institute for Social Research.
- Dokecki, P. R. (1983). Place of values in the world of psychology and public policy. <u>Peabody Journal of Education</u>, 60(3), 108-125.
- Elmore, R. F. (1983). Social policy making as strategic intervention. In E. Seidman (Ed.), <u>Handbook of social intervention</u> (pp. 212-236). Beverly Hills: Sage.
- Fairweather, G. W. (Ed.).(1980). New directions for mental health services: The Fairweather Lodge: A twenty-five year retrospective. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Fairweather, G. W., Sanders, D. H., & Tornatzky, L. G. (1974).

 <u>Creating change in mental health organizations</u>. New York:
 Pergamon Press.
- Fairweather, G. W., & Tornatzky, L. G. (1977). Experimental methods in social policy research. New York: Pergamon Press.
- Fischer, F. (1980). <u>Politics</u>, <u>values</u>, <u>and public policy</u>: <u>The problem of methodology</u>. Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press.
- Gergen, K. J. (1978). Toward generative theory. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 36, 1344-1360.
- Gould, S., Wunsch-Hitzig, R., & Dohrenwald, B. (1981). Estimating the prevalence of childhood psychopathology, a critical review. <u>Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry</u>, 20, 462-476.



- Heflinger, C. A., & Dokecki, P. R. (1985, August). The use of mental health standards in child and adolescent programs:

 What factors influence policy development and implementation? Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Los Angeles, CA.
- Hobbs, N. (1979). Helping disturbed children: Psychological and ecological strategies. II: Project Re-Ed, twenty years later. Nashville, TN: Center for the Study of Families and Children, Institute for Public Policy Studies, Vanderbilt University.
- Hobbs, N. (1982). <u>The troubled and troubling child</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hobbs, N., Dokecki, P. R., Hoover-Dempsey, K. V., Moroney, R. M., Shayne, M. W., & Weeks, K. H. (1984). <u>Strengthening Families</u>. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Knitzer, J. (1982). <u>Unclaimed children: The failure of public responsibility to children and adolescents in need of mental health services</u>. Washington, DC: Children's Defense Fund.
- Knitzer, J. (1984). Mental health services to children and adolescents: A national view of public policies. American Psychologist, 39, 905-911.
- Lieberman, E. J. (1975). <u>Mental health: The public health</u>
 challenge. Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association.
- Miller, T. C. (Ed.). (1984). <u>Public sector performance: A conceptual turning point</u>. Baltimmore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Moroney, R. M. (1980). Mental disability: The role of the family. In J. J. Bevilacqua (Ed.), <u>Changing government policies for the mentally disabled</u> (pp. 209-230). Cambridge, Mass: Ballinger.
- Moroney, R. M., & Dokecki, P. R. (1984). The family and the professions: Implications for public policy. <u>Journal of Family Issues</u>, 5, 224-238.
- Reppucci, N. D., & Sarason, S. B. (1979). Public policy and human service institutions. American Journal of Community Psychology, 1, 521-542.
- Sampson, E. E. (1978). Scientific paradigms and social values: Wanted--a scientific revolution. <u>Journal of Personality and</u>



Social Psychology, 36, 1332-1343.

- Sarason, S. B. (1984). Community psychology and public policy:

 Missed opportunity.

 Ogy, 12, 199-207.
- Shadish, W. R. (1984). Policy research: Lessons from the implementation of deinstitutionalization. <u>American Psychologist</u>, 39, 725-738.



Levels of Evaluative Logic as Related to the Sccial Sciences

Levels of	Social		: `.
Evaluative	Science		•
Logic	Methodology	The Role of Empirical Science	Mode of Inference
Verification	Evaluation	Emphasis on research design and	Causal explanation
	Research	controlled experimentation	Knowledge of facts
		Reliability through statistical analysis	sufficient
		Knowledge of secondary consequences	Formal inference
Validation	Phenomeno-	Descriptive facts of the	Interpretive understandin
	logical	situation	Knowledge of facts neces-
	Analysis	Application of causal knowledge about	sary but not sufficient
		consequences of following a rule	Inference based on
		•	informal logic
Vindication	Behavioral	Descriptive knowledge of de facto	Causal explanation
	Systems	individual and group values	Knowledge of facts
	Approach	Empirical data about instrumental	sufficient
	•	and contributive consequences	Formal inference
Rational	Political	Experiential knowledge about	Interpretive speculation
Choice .	Philosophy	alternative ways of life	Vision, imagination and
		Knowledge of human nature	logical speculation
			Knowledge of facts neces-
			sary but not sufficient

From Fischer, F. (1980). Politics, values and public policy: The problem of methodology p. 175. Boulder, Colorado: Vestview Press; reprinted by permission.